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The authors have administered a de-identification process in which any information which was
deemed to have the potential to identify research subjects, whether in isolation or combination with
other data, has been either omitted or reworded. In all cases, the authors have attempted to preserve
as much of the original data as possible; and have tried to ensure that the integrity of original
response was maintained.

The de-identification process utilised two techniques:

- **Omission**: Whenever disclosive information was included in a response, certain elements of
  that response (such as a word or phrase) have been omitted so as to obscure identity, while
  preserving as much of the intended meaning and salience of the response as possible. To
  make the data as seamless and interpretable as possible, we have not provided indications
  within the data as signalling where the omissions have taken place.

- **Revision**: In cases where disclosive information was given but could not be omitted without
  jeopardising the integrity of the response data, the authors have revised words or phrases to
  ensure anonymity, while retaining the essential meaning. In most cases, these instances
  entail changing specific information to something less particular (such as replacing a
  departmental with a faculty-level identifier). (See the “Text revised section below for an
  example.)

Throughout the data, the authors used [brackets] to indicate intervention mechanisms for de-
identification and clarification.

1. **Text revised [ ]**: Brackets indicate that a word or series of words have been altered in a way
   that preserves original meaning as far as possible. For example, if the original response was:
   “I work in the sociology department”; this was altered to: “I work in the [Faculty of
   Humanities]."

2. **Unclear to transcriber [unclear]**: In cases where the transcriber was unable to interpret
   components of a response, “[unclear]” was inserted as an indicator in the text.
3. **Withheld [withheld for de-identification]:** In cases where answers to certain questions are judged to be too disclosive (particularly when combined ancillary responses) the authors have withheld certain key responses.

Quality of the data was enhanced by:
- Providing translations for the few instances of non-English text in the transcripts
- Standardising spelling of software tools and social media websites
- Correcting obvious spelling mistakes