

19 Sep 00 SPSS for Unix, Release 6.1 (AIX 3.2)  
Page 1  
18:30:50 Uoft Library IBM RS6000 AIX

For AIX Uoft Library SPSS ID  
1294

1 0 title Bushman demography./ N. Howell  
2 0 subtitle Revised ed.  
3 0 import file='Corrwork1.por'  
4 0 comment display dictionary

Portable file: Corrwork1.por  
File format: Communications  
Date and time: 2000/09/15 13:34:07  
Originating software: SPSS for MS WINDOWS Release 9.0  
Originating installation:  
Precision: 11 base-30 digits - roughly 16 base-10 digits  
Variables: 54  
Weighting variable: -NONE-

Number of cases read: 837  
Input file size: 1,271 records (101,680 bytes)

Preceding task required 6.00 seconds CPU time; .06 seconds elapsed.

5 0 frequencies variables=sex1 to ageest2

Memory allows a total of 18,724 values accumulated across all variables.  
There may be up to 2,340 value labels for each variable.

SEX1 Sex

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
male	1	396	47.3	47.3	47.3
female	2	441	52.7	52.7	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	837	Missing cases	0		

NAME

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
bau	11	36	4.3	4.3	4.3
be	12	9	1.1	1.1	5.4
chu@o	13	40	4.8	4.8	10.2
cwa	14	11	1.3	1.3	11.5
di//au	15	21	2.5	2.5	14.0
hwan//a	16	24	2.9	2.9	16.8
	17	3	.4	.4	17.2
karu	18	34	4.1	4.1	21.3
kxemsi	19	26	3.1	3.1	24.4
kun//a	20	51	6.1	6.1	30.5
kwoba	21	13	1.6	1.6	32.0
kiore	22	9	1.1	1.1	33.1
n/ahka	23	25	3.0	3.0	36.1
n=isa	24	51	6.1	6.1	42.2
n@ai	25	21	2.5	2.5	44.7
n@ose	26	2	.2	.2	44.9
n@uhka	27	42	5.0	5.0	49.9
	28	2	.2	.2	50.2
	29	9	1.1	1.1	51.3
	30	5	.6	.6	51.9
	31	9	1.1	1.1	52.9
	32	1	.1	.1	53.0
sa//gai	33	9	1.1	1.1	54.1
	34	10	1.2	1.2	55.3
tin@ay	35	11	1.3	1.3	56.6
	36	3	.4	.4	57.0
tsebe	37	2	.2	.2	57.2
	38	5	.6	.6	57.8
/asa	39	14	1.7	1.7	59.5
	40	6	.7	.7	60.2
/em	41	5	.6	.6	60.8
/oise	42	12	1.4	1.4	62.2
	43	25	3.0	3.0	65.2
	44	17	2.0	2.0	67.3
/wa	45	37	4.4	4.4	71.7
	46	12	1.4	1.4	73.1
	47	24	2.9	2.9	76.0
/xia	48	8	1.0	1.0	76.9
=abo	49	5	.6	.6	77.5
	50	4	.5	.5	78.0
	51	7	.8	.8	78.9
	52	2	.2	.2	79.1
@u	53	2	.2	.2	79.3
	54	1	.1	.1	79.5
	55	24	2.9	2.9	82.3
//gau	56	6	.7	.7	83.0
//gumi	57	2	.2	.2	83.3

NAME

//n	58	15	1.8	1.8	85.1
//nsa	59	11	1.3	1.3	86.4
//oka	60	18	2.2	2.2	88.5
//use	61	67	8.0	8.0	96.5
	62	2	.2	.2	96.8
	63	1	.1	.1	96.9
	64	11	1.3	1.3	98.2
	65	4	.5	.5	98.7
	66	1	.1	.1	98.8
	67	6	.7	.7	99.5
	69	3	.4	.4	99.9
	71	1	.1	.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

-----  
 RECID Record number

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	837	100.0	100.0	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

YRBIRTH Estimated year of birth

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
1900	0	4	.5	.5	.5
	1	6	.7	.7	1.2
	2	4	.5	.5	1.7
	3	3	.4	.4	2.0
	4	7	.8	.8	2.9
	5	7	.8	.8	3.7
	6	9	1.1	1.1	4.8
	7	2	.2	.2	5.0
	8	2	.2	.2	5.3
	9	4	.5	.5	5.7
	10	8	1.0	1.0	6.7
	11	5	.6	.6	7.3
	12	4	.5	.5	7.8
	13	5	.6	.6	8.4
	14	9	1.1	1.1	9.4
	15	8	1.0	1.0	10.4
	16	4	.5	.5	10.9
	17	6	.7	.7	11.6
	18	11	1.3	1.3	12.9
	19	9	1.1	1.1	14.0
	20	8	1.0	1.0	14.9
	21	3	.4	.4	15.3
	22	12	1.4	1.4	16.7
	23	9	1.1	1.1	17.8
	24	10	1.2	1.2	19.0
	25	17	2.0	2.0	21.0
	26	12	1.4	1.4	22.5
	27	20	2.4	2.4	24.9
	28	7	.8	.8	25.7
	29	8	1.0	1.0	26.6
	30	21	2.5	2.5	29.2
	31	5	.6	.6	29.7
	32	6	.7	.7	30.5
	33	16	1.9	1.9	32.4
	34	11	1.3	1.3	33.7
	35	16	1.9	1.9	35.6
	36	12	1.4	1.4	37.0
	37	16	1.9	1.9	38.9
	38	16	1.9	1.9	40.9
	39	15	1.8	1.8	42.7
	40	16	1.9	1.9	44.6
	41	15	1.8	1.8	46.4
	42	13	1.6	1.6	47.9
	43	9	1.1	1.1	49.0
	44	13	1.6	1.6	50.5
	45	12	1.4	1.4	52.0
	46	12	1.4	1.4	53.4

YRBIRTH Estimated year of birth

	47	12	1.4	1.4	54.8
	48	8	1.0	1.0	55.8
	49	16	1.9	1.9	57.7
	50	10	1.2	1.2	58.9
	51	16	1.9	1.9	60.8
	52	12	1.4	1.4	62.2
	53	15	1.8	1.8	64.0
	54	7	.8	.8	64.9
	55	13	1.6	1.6	66.4
	56	19	2.3	2.3	68.7
	57	11	1.3	1.3	70.0
	58	7	.8	.8	70.8
	59	8	1.0	1.0	71.8
	60	19	2.3	2.3	74.1
	61	19	2.3	2.3	76.3
	62	15	1.8	1.8	78.1
	63	32	3.8	3.8	82.0
	64	17	2.0	2.0	84.0
	65	21	2.5	2.5	86.5
	66	15	1.8	1.8	88.3
	67	39	4.7	4.7	93.0
	68	24	2.9	2.9	95.8
1969	69	10	1.2	1.2	97.0
	83	1	.1	.1	97.1
	85	1	.1	.1	97.3
	86	1	.1	.1	97.4
	87	1	.1	.1	97.5
	88	1	.1	.1	97.6
	89	3	.4	.4	98.0
	90	1	.1	.1	98.1
	91	1	.1	.1	98.2
	92	1	.1	.1	98.3
	93	1	.1	.1	98.4
	94	1	.1	.1	98.6
	95	3	.4	.4	98.9
	96	3	.4	.4	99.3
	97	2	.2	.2	99.5
	98	3	.4	.4	99.9
1899	99	1	.1	.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

LOC11\_64 Location at census Nov. 12, 1964

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	1	.1	.1	.1
	10	44	5.3	5.3	5.4
	20	44	5.3	5.3	10.6
	30	62	7.4	7.4	18.0
	40	42	5.0	5.0	23.1
	50	36	4.3	4.3	27.4
	55	19	2.3	2.3	29.6
	60	54	6.5	6.5	36.1
	70	11	1.3	1.3	37.4
	101	1	.1	.1	37.5
	110	120	14.3	14.3	51.9
	210	3	.4	.4	52.2
	301	126	15.1	15.1	67.3
	510	70	8.4	8.4	75.6
	601	2	.2	.2	75.9
	610	25	3.0	3.0	78.9
	801	1	.1	.1	79.0
	820	2	.2	.2	79.2
	870	4	.5	.5	79.7
	901	40	4.8	4.8	84.5
	904	1	.1	.1	84.6
	910	16	1.9	1.9	86.5
	942	2	.2	.2	86.7
	970	3	.4	.4	87.1
deceased,not born	997	108	12.9	12.9	100.0
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

LOC10\_67 Location at census Oct. 1967

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	3	1	.1	.1	.1
	10	45	5.4	5.4	5.5
	11	4	.5	.5	6.0
	12	1	.1	.1	6.1
	20	13	1.6	1.6	7.6
	30	66	7.9	7.9	15.5
	40	68	8.1	8.1	23.7
	50	16	1.9	1.9	25.6
	55	18	2.2	2.2	27.7
	60	44	5.3	5.3	33.0
	70	8	1.0	1.0	33.9
	101	1	.1	.1	34.1
	102	1	.1	.1	34.2
	110	125	14.9	14.9	49.1
	210	3	.4	.4	49.5
	220	10	1.2	1.2	50.7
	300	1	.1	.1	50.8
	301	137	16.4	16.4	67.1
	401	6	.7	.7	67.9
	420	8	1.0	1.0	68.8
	450	1	.1	.1	68.9
	493	19	2.3	2.3	71.2
	510	91	10.9	10.9	82.1
	610	34	4.1	4.1	86.1
	820	1	.1	.1	86.3
	901	28	3.3	3.3	89.6
	910	8	1.0	1.0	90.6
	941	3	.4	.4	90.9
	970	5	.6	.6	91.5
deceased,not born	997	71	8.5	8.5	100.0
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0



LOC11\_68 Location at census Nov. 1, 1968

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	3	1	.1	.1	.1
	10	34	4.1	4.1	4.2
	11	20	2.4	2.4	6.6
	20	14	1.7	1.7	8.2
	30	79	9.4	9.4	17.7
	40	57	6.8	6.8	24.5
	50	48	5.7	5.7	30.2
	60	25	3.0	3.0	33.2
	70	13	1.6	1.6	34.8
	110	129	15.4	15.4	50.2
	130	1	.1	.1	50.3
	151	1	.1	.1	50.4
	201	1	.1	.1	50.5
	210	1	.1	.1	50.7
	220	41	4.9	4.9	55.6
	301	95	11.4	11.4	66.9
	310	7	.8	.8	67.7
	493	22	2.6	2.6	70.4
	510	148	17.7	17.7	88.1
	610	17	2.0	2.0	90.1
	611	1	.1	.1	90.2
	820	3	.4	.4	90.6
	870	1	.1	.1	90.7
	901	25	3.0	3.0	93.7
	941	3	.4	.4	94.0
	970	2	.2	.2	94.3
deceased,not born	997	48	5.7	5.7	100.0
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	837	Missing cases	0		

LOC04\_69 Location at census Apr. 25, 1969

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	3	1	.1	.1	.1
	4	1	.1	.1	.2
	10	41	4.9	4.9	5.1
	11	10	1.2	1.2	6.3
	20	14	1.7	1.7	8.0
	30	76	9.1	9.1	17.1
	40	72	8.6	8.6	25.7
	42	17	2.0	2.0	27.7
	50	60	7.2	7.2	34.9
	55	16	1.9	1.9	36.8
	60	53	6.3	6.3	43.1
	70	16	1.9	1.9	45.0
	103	1	.1	.1	45.2
	110	144	17.2	17.2	62.4
	111	1	.1	.1	62.5
	120	2	.2	.2	62.7
	310	10	1.2	1.2	63.9
	393	11	1.3	1.3	65.2
	394	16	1.9	1.9	67.1
	395	10	1.2	1.2	68.3
	396	21	2.5	2.5	70.8
	397	38	4.5	4.5	75.4
	398	2	.2	.2	75.6
	510	70	8.4	8.4	84.0
	610	17	2.0	2.0	86.0
	760	1	.1	.1	86.1
	820	16	1.9	1.9	88.1
	870	17	2.0	2.0	90.1
	901	33	3.9	3.9	94.0
	910	1	.1	.1	94.1
	941	3	.4	.4	94.5
	943	4	.5	.5	95.0
	970	2	.2	.2	95.2
deceased,not born	997	39	4.7	4.7	99.9
not available	998	1	.1	.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

LS63 Living status during 1963

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.2	.2	.2
born before, survive	3	679	81.1	81.1	81.4
born during, survive	5	34	4.1	4.1	85.4
not yet born	6	122	14.6	14.6	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

LS64 Living status during 1964

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
born before, died du	2	7	.8	.8	.8
born before, survive	3	706	84.3	84.3	85.2
born during, survive	5	16	1.9	1.9	87.1
not yet born	6	108	12.9	12.9	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

LS65 Living status during 1965

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
born & died before	1	7	.8	.8	.8
born before, died du	2	10	1.2	1.2	2.0
born before, survive	3	712	85.1	85.1	87.1
born & died during	4	1	.1	.1	87.2
born during, survive	5	19	2.3	2.3	89.5
not yet born	6	88	10.5	10.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

LS66 Living status during 1966

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
born & died before	1	18	2.2	2.2	2.2
born before, died du	2	3	.4	.4	2.5
born before, survive	3	729	87.1	87.1	89.6
born & died during	4	1	.1	.1	89.7
born during, survive	5	14	1.7	1.7	91.4
not yet born	6	72	8.6	8.6	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

-----  
 LS67 Living status during 1967

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
born & died before	1	22	2.6	2.6	2.6
born before, died du	2	6	.7	.7	3.3
born before, survive	3	737	88.1	88.1	91.4
born & died during	4	4	.5	.5	91.9
born during, survive	5	34	4.1	4.1	95.9
not yet born	6	34	4.1	4.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

LS68 Living status during 1968

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
born & died before	1	32	3.8	3.8	3.8
born before, died du	2	4	.5	.5	4.3
born before, survive	3	767	91.6	91.6	95.9
born & died during	4	1	.1	.1	96.1
born during, survive	5	23	2.7	2.7	98.8
not yet born	6	10	1.2	1.2	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

LS69 Living status during April 1969

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
born & died before	1	37	4.4	4.4	4.4
born before, died du	2	3	.4	.4	4.8
born before, survive	3	787	94.0	94.0	98.8
born during, survive	5	10	1.2	1.2	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

MS63 Migration status during 1963

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
resident	1	383	45.8	45.8	45.8
marginal	3	201	24.0	24.0	69.8
became marginal	4	2	.2	.2	70.0
southerner	5	128	15.3	15.3	85.3
	8	123	14.7	14.7	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

MS64 Migration status during 1964

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
resident	1	389	46.5	46.5	46.5
became resident	2	1	.1	.1	46.6
marginal	3	204	24.4	24.4	71.0
became marginal	4	3	.4	.4	71.3
southerner	5	132	15.8	15.8	87.1
	8	108	12.9	12.9	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

-----  
 MS65 Migration status during 1965

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
resident	1	387	46.2	46.2	46.2
marginal	3	212	25.3	25.3	71.6
became marginal	4	7	.8	.8	72.4
southerner	5	135	16.1	16.1	88.5
	8	96	11.5	11.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

MS66 Migration status during 1966

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
resident	1	378	45.2	45.2	45.2
became resident	2	6	.7	.7	45.9
marginal	3	218	26.0	26.0	71.9
became marginal	4	3	.4	.4	72.3
southerner	5	139	16.6	16.6	88.9
	8	93	11.1	11.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

-----  
 MS67 Migration status during 1967

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
resident	1	402	48.0	48.0	48.0
became resident	2	20	2.4	2.4	50.4
marginal	3	212	25.3	25.3	75.7
became marginal	4	3	.4	.4	76.1
southerner	5	144	17.2	17.2	93.3
	8	56	6.7	6.7	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

MS68 Migration status during 1968

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
resident	1	425	50.8	50.8	50.8
became resident	2	29	3.5	3.5	54.2
marginal	3	189	22.6	22.6	76.8
became marginal	4	4	.5	.5	77.3
southerner	5	148	17.7	17.7	95.0
	8	42	5.0	5.0	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

MS69 Migration status during 1969

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
resident	1	460	55.0	55.0	55.0
marginal	3	192	22.9	22.9	77.9
southerner	5	148	17.7	17.7	95.6
	8	37	4.4	4.4	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

PHOTO I.D. photo

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	659	78.7	99.8	99.8
	3	1	.1	.2	100.0
	.	177	21.1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 660 Missing cases 177



GENEOLGY Geneology

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	168	20.1	100.0	100.0
	.	669	79.9	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 168 Missing cases 669

-----

INTERVW Men's or women's interviews

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	293	35.0	100.0	100.0
	.	544	65.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 293 Missing cases 544

-----

DSE67 Doctor's study - examination in 1967

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	78	9.3	100.0	100.0
	.	759	90.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 78 Missing cases 759

DSE68 Doctor's study - examination in 1968

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	132	15.8	100.0	100.0
	.	705	84.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 132 Missing cases 705

-----

DSE69 Doctor's study - examination in 1969

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	61	7.3	100.0	100.0
	.	776	92.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 61 Missing cases 776

-----

TRANCE Trance study (Katz & RBL)

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	2	.2	100.0	100.0
	.	835	99.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 2 Missing cases 835

KASPHOTO Kinship & acquaintance study - photo ser

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	403	48.1	100.0	100.0
	.	434	51.9	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 403 Missing cases 434

-----

KASID Kinship & acquaintance study - identifie

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	154	18.4	100.0	100.0
	.	683	81.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 154 Missing cases 683

-----

TBTINE T.B. Tine test

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes, positive	1	48	5.7	55.8	55.8
yes, negative	2	38	4.5	44.2	100.0
	.	751	89.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 86 Missing cases 751

WSC08\_68 Weight and skinfold campaign - August 19

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	288	34.4	100.0	100.0
	.	549	65.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 288 Missing cases 549

-----

WSC10\_68 Weight and skinfold campaign - October 1

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	359	42.9	100.0	100.0
	.	478	57.1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 359 Missing cases 478

-----

WSC01\_69 Weight and skinfold campaign - January 1

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	384	45.9	100.0	100.0
	.	453	54.1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 384 Missing cases 453

WSC04\_69 Weight and skinfold campaign - April 196

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	7	.8	100.0	100.0
	.	830	99.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 7 Missing cases 830

-----

AWDOBE Age work: self placed in Dobe series

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	142	17.0	100.0	100.0
	.	695	83.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 142 Missing cases 695

-----

AW@ANGWA Age work: self placed in @angwa series

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	148	17.7	100.0	100.0
	.	689	82.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 148 Missing cases 689

AW@UBI Age work: self placed in @ubi series

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	69	8.2	100.0	100.0
	.	768	91.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 69 Missing cases 768

-----

AW\_AIAI Age work: self placed in /ai/ai series

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	191	22.8	100.0	100.0
	.	646	77.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 191 Missing cases 646

-----

MATRALIN Female descent: placed in matrilineage

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	403	48.1	100.0	100.0
	.	434	51.9	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 403 Missing cases 434

PATRALIN Male descent: placed in patrilineage

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	7	.8	100.0	100.0
	.	830	99.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases           7           Missing cases       830

MENTHAN1 Mental handicaps - lack of intelligence

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes, noticeable	1	8	1.0	100.0	100.0
	.	829	99.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases           8           Missing cases       829

MENTHAN2 Mental handicaps - mental illness

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	8	1.0	100.0	100.0
	.	829	99.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases           8           Missing cases       829

PHYSHAN1 Physical handicaps - crippled

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	8	1.0	100.0	100.0
	.	829	99.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 8 Missing cases 829

-----

PHYSHAN2 Physical handicaps - back disorders

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	8	1.0	100.0	100.0
	.	829	99.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 8 Missing cases 829

-----

PHYSHAN3 Physical handicaps - blindness, eye trou

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
completely blind	1	9	1.1	19.1	19.1
blind one eye	2	23	2.7	48.9	68.1
difficulty, not blin	3	15	1.8	31.9	100.0
	.	790	94.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 47 Missing cases 790



PHYSHAN4 Physical handicaps - chronic illness

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	17	2.0	100.0	100.0
	.	820	98.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 17 Missing cases 820

PHYSHAN5 Physical handicaps - senility

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	13	1.6	100.0	100.0
	.	824	98.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 13 Missing cases 824

BONEDIS Facial bone disease - flat nose

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	7	.8	100.0	100.0
	.	830	99.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 7 Missing cases 830

POISON Poison arrow scars

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	13	1.6	100.0	100.0
	.	824	98.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 13 Missing cases 824

-----

AGEEST1 Age estimate, May 1973

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	.	837	100.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 0 Missing cases 837

-----

AGEEST2 Age estimate, Aug. 1972

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
		837	100.0	100.0	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	837	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 837 Missing cases 0

19 Sep 00 Bushman demography./ N. Howell  
Page 27  
18:30:50 Revised ed

Preceding task required 4.00 seconds CPU time; .06 seconds elapsed.

6 0 descriptives variables=caseid

76 bytes of memory required for the DESCRIPTIVES procedure.  
4 bytes have already been acquired.  
72 bytes remain to be acquired.

19 Sep 00 Bushman demography./ N. Howell  
Page 28  
18:30:50 Revised ed

Number of valid observations (listwise) = 837.00

Variable	Mean	Std Dev	Minimum	Maximum	Valid N	Label
CASEID	422.32	245.04	1	846	837	I.D. Number

19 Sep 00 Bushman demography./ N. Howell  
Page 29  
18:30:50 Revised ed

Preceding task required 1.00 seconds CPU time; .01 seconds elapsed.

7 0 execute

Preceding task required .00 seconds CPU time; .00 seconds elapsed.

8 0 finish

8 command lines read.  
0 errors detected.  
0 warnings issued.  
11 seconds CPU time.  
0 seconds elapsed time.  
End of job.